

Michael O. Leavitt Governor Ted Stewart Executive Director James W. Carter Division Director 1594 West North Temple, Suite 1210 Box 145801 Salt Lake City, Utah 84114-5801 801-538-5340 801-359-3940 (Fax) 801-538-7223 (TDD)

March 11, 1997

TO:

Board of Oil, Gas and Mining

THRU:

Mary Ann Wright, Associate Director

THRU:

D. Wayne Hedberg, Permit Supervisor

FROM:

Anthony A. Gallegos, Senior Reclamation Specialist

RE:

Response to Board Request for Comparision of Surety Amount Estimates, Three-Year

Amount Versus 30% Total (Life of Mine) Amount, Summo USA Corporation, Lisbon

Valley Copper Project, M/037/088, San Juan County, Utah

As requested by the Board at the January 22, 1997 Hearing, the Division has compared the 30% total surety amount proposed by Summo with a surety amount based on specific disturbances and facilities needed for the first three years of operation. The three-year estimate was prepared by Summo's consultant, Pat Gochnour, and forwarded to the Division. During the first three years of the project some mine facilities or features will need to be completely constructed (e.g. process ponds). Other features will only be a fraction of their "life of mine size" during the first three years of operation (e.g. waste dumps). The three-year estimate is approximately 3.8% (or \$97,603) greater than the estimate proposed during the January 22nd Hearing. The three-year disturbed area estimate is roughly 80.6 acres greater (395 acres) than the 314.4 acres proposed at the Hearing. The three-year estimate rounded to the nearest thousand dollars is \$2,689,000 in year 2000-\$.

The Division supports this revised amount and acreage. The BLM State Office has notified the Division this proposed amount meets the BLM's bonding requirements for project disturbances on federal lands in the first three years of project disturbance. Summo's consultant, Pat Gochnour, has informed the Division that Summo will post a surety amount based on the three-year estimate.

The reclamation cost estimate submitted during the January Board Hearing has been revised to show the features to actually be constructed during the first three years of the project. Calculations for these items are shown in the right margin of the attached revised estimate. The last page of the revised estimate shows the rounded three-year surety amount as \$2,689,000 in year 2000 dollars. This figure includes three years of escalation.

Page 2 Board Memo M/037/088 March 11, 1997

To assure compliance with this Order, Summo USA has agreed to provide the Division with appropriately certified surveys (aerial, g.p.s., etc.), and disturbed area maps that accurately reflect the actual affected areas, at least once each year with their year end Annual Report. The surveys and maps shall be stamped and prepared under the direct supervision of a registered professional engineer or registered land surveyor.

jb
Attachment: revised estimate of 3/5/97
cc: Terry McParland, BLM State Office
Lynn Jackson, BLM, Moab District
Dennis Fredericks, DWQ
Will Stokes, SITLA

summo-3y.mem





| Summo USA Corporation | | | last revisio | 02/25/97 | | | | |
|---|----------------------|---|--------------|---------------|-----------|---------------------|-----------|---------------|
| Lisbon Valley Copper Pr | oject i | filename m37-88. | ∧B2 | page "ESTIMA" | ΓΕ" | | | |
| M/037/088 | ; | San Juan | County | | | | | |
| Prepared by Utah State | Division of | Oil. Gas 8 | & Mining | | | | | |
| Details of Final Reclamatio | | | · /// | <u> </u> | | 4 | | |
| -This estimate is a revision o | | 97 estimate | by The V | Vinters Co | | | | |
| -Items which have changed s | since the 03 | lan-97 estin | nate are t | nighlighted | | 3-YR ESTIMATE | last rov | 02/05/05 |
| | | rbed area = | | 1,048.0 | acres | IN RIGHT MARGIN | | 03/05/97 |
| <u>Activity</u> | area | Quantity | <u>Units</u> | \$/unit | \$ | | % adjust | <u>\$</u> |
| Waste dump A - 190 acres | | | | | | | | _ |
| area of top | 456,444 | | SY | | • | LOM = Life | e Of Mine | |
| area of slope | 462,680 | | SY | | | | | |
| scarify top (flat) area | | 456,444 | SY | 0.20 | 91,289 | | | |
| 12 inches soil on top of dump |) | 152,148 | CY | 1.25 | 190,185 | | | |
| 12 inches soil on slope | | 154,227 | CY | 1.25 | 192,784 | | | |
| seed entire surface | | 190 | ACRE | 174 | 33,060 | 0 acres | | |
| Total - waste dump "A" re | clamation | | | | 507,318 | | | 0 |
| Moote Dumm IIDII - 04 A | _ | | | | | | | |
| Waste Dump "B" 94 Acre | | | | | | Waste Dump "B" | 0.54 | % of total LO |
| area of top | 197,222 | | SY | | | | | |
| area of slope | 258,240 | 407.000 | SY | | | | | |
| scarify top (flat) area | | 197,222 | | 0.20 | 39,444 | | 0.54 | 21,401 |
| 12 inches soil on top of dump | 1 | 65,741 | | 1.25 | 82,176 | | 0.54 | 44,585 |
| 12 inches soil on slope | | 86,080 | | 1.25 | 107,600 | dump not full heigh | 0.27 | 29,052 |
| seed entire surface | | 94 | ACRE | 174 | 16,356 | 51 acres | 0.54 | 8,874 |
| Total - Waste dump "B" re | clamation | | | | 245,577 | | | 103,912 |
| Waste Dump "C" - 120 Acre | s | | | | | Waste Dump "C" | 0.72 | % of total LO |
| area of top | 344,222 | | SY | | | Waste Builly C | 0.73 | % OI total LO |
| area of slope | 238,633 | | SY | | | | | |
| scarify top (flat) area | _00,000 | 344,222 | | 0.20 | 68,844 | · | 0.73 | 50,486 |
| 12 inches soil on top of dump | | 114,741 | | 1.25 | 143,426 | | 0.73 | 105,179 |
| 12 inches soil on slope | | 79,544 | | 1.25 | | dump not full heigh | 0.73 | 26,846 |
| seed entire surface | | | ACRE | 174 | 20,880 | 88 acres | 0.73 | 15,312 |
| Total - Waste Dump "C" re | clamation | | | | 332,581 | 00 00100 | 0.70 | 197,823 |
| | | | | | | | | · |
| Leach Pad - 254 Acres * | • | a. Pa. Robert I. A. | | 390Ye11 | . L | Leach Pad | 0.27 | % of LOM ore |
| Rinse Heap - 12% of total o | re neutralize | ed; rinsing a | & evapor | | | Cells 1 & 2 | | |
| lime (2.5 lbs/ton)x(\$0.025/lb)x labor, power & pump for drain | (5.9M ton) down & | 5,900,000 | ton | 0.063 | 368,750 | | | |
| evaporation for 18 months | | 1 | lot | 446,640 | 446,640 | | | |
| subtotal for heap rinse & e | vaporation | | | | 815,390 | | 0.27 | 220,155 |
| | : | | | | 010,000 | Heap Earthwork | 0.27 | 220, 195 |
| area of top | 788,556 | | SY | | | neap Earthwork | | |
| area of slope | 441,653 | | SY | | | | | |
| 12 inch clay cap on top | 12 | 262,852 | | 2.50 | 657,130 | | | |
| 12 inch clay cap on slope | 12 | 147,218 | | 2.50 | | | | |
| 24 inch crushed rock on top | 24 | 525,704 | | | 368,044 | | | |
| 24 inch crushed rock on slope | | SALE AND THE SALE OF THE SALE | 19 75 | 2.50 | 1,314,260 | | | |
| 12 inches soil on top | | 294,435 | | 2.50 | 736,088 | | | |
| 12 inches soil on slope | 12 | 262,852 | | 1.25 | 328,565 | | | |
| seed entire surface | 12 | 147,218 | | 1.25 | 184,022 | 400 | | |
| | محمد الأحماد | | ACRE | 174 | 44,196 | 120 acres | | |
| subtotal - clay, crushed roc | K, SOII & SEEC | uing for lead | n pad | | 3,632,306 | | 0.27 | 980,723 |
| POO has 266 acres. 12 acres is o | considered buffe | er area around | the leach | | | | | |
| pad & is included in other areas (p | | | | | | | | |





| Reclamation of Miscellaneous Areas | | | | | Reclamation of Mi | scellaneo | us Areas |
|---|--------------|-------------|------|---------|--------------------|------------|--------------|
| Pond Area - 11 Acres | | | | | Pond Area | 1.00 % | of total LOM |
| raffinate pond - 12 inches soil | 4,852 | CY | 1.25 | 6,065 | | | |
| PLS pond - 12 inches soil | 4,852 | CY | 1.25 | 6,065 | × | | |
| water runoff pond - 12 inches soil | 8,229 | CY | 1.25 | 10,286 | | | |
| seed 3 pond areas | 11 | ACRE | | 1,914 | 11 acres | | |
| Total - Pond Area Reclamation | | | | 24,330 | | 1.00 | 24,330 |
| Plant & Crusher Area - 25.5 Acres | | | | | Plant & Crusher | 0.78 % | of total LOM |
| apply 12 inches soil | 41,080 | CY | 1.25 | 51,350 | 18. | | |
| seed entire area | 26 | ACRE | 174 | 4,437 | | | |
| Total - Plant Area Reclamation | | | | 55,787 | 20 acres | 0.78 | 43,755 |
| Haul Roads - 40 Acres | | | | | Haul Roads | 0.53 % | of total LOM |
| scarify | 192,889 | SY | 0.20 | 38,578 | | | |
| contour | 64,296 | CY | 1.25 | 80,370 | | | |
| apply 12 inches soil | 67,511 | CY | 1.25 | 84,389 | | | |
| seed entire area | 40 | ACRE | 174 | 6,960 | | | |
| Total - Haul Road Reclamation | | | | 210,297 | 21 acres | 0.53 | 110,406 |
| Power Line Corridor - 64 Acres* | | | | | | | |
| *Note the Power Co. has requested the | 64 | ACRE | n/c | 0 | 64 | | 0 |
| power line remain open | | | | | 4 | | |
| Reseed Soil Stockpile Areas - 40 Acres | | | | | Reseed Soil Stock | oile Areas | |
| reseed 40 acres | 40 | ACRE | 174 | 6,960 | 20 acres | | of total LOM |
| Total - Reseed Soil Stockpile Areas | | | | 6,960 | Y., 1 | 0.50 | 3,480 |
| Fences & Berms* Around Open Pits | | | | | Fences & Berms | 1.00 % | of total LOM |
| fence around Sentinel Pit 1 | 5,620 | | 3.02 | 16,972 | 343 | 1.00 | 16,972 |
| fence around Sentinel Pit 2 | 2,140 | LF | 3.02 | 6,463 | | 1.00 | 6,463 |
| fence around Centennial Pit | 8,980 | LF | 3.02 | 27,120 | N . | 1.00 | 27,120 |
| fence around GTO Pit | 7,410 | LF | 3.02 | 22,378 | pit inactive | 0 | 0 |
| Total - Pit Fences | | | | 72,933 | | | 50,555 |
| *Note-Cost for berms is not shown, as these will be | | | | | | | |
| during plant construction phase. Fencing material | will be recy | cled | | | | | |
| from the leach pad area. | | | | 1. | | | |
| Surface Drainage Diversion Ditches* | | | | | Surface Drainage % | of total L | OM varies |
| leach pad area | 7,473 | | 1.25 | 9,341 | 1.0 | 0.27 | 2,522 |
| plant area | 1,595 | | 1.25 | 1,994 | | 1.00 | 1,994 |
| crusher area | 1,810 | | 1.25 | 2,263 | | 1.00 | 2,263 |
| dump areas | 13,668 | CY | 1.25 | 17,085 | | 0.53 | 9,055 |
| Total - Drainage Diversion Ditches | | | | 30,683 | | | 15,833 |
| *Work is for removing diversion sediment structure | S | | | | | | |



PAGE 3/3

| I | DIRECT COSTS | | | | | DIRECT COSTS 0 | 1.75 | % of total LO |
|-----|--|---------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------|--|------|------------------------|
| | Mobilization and Demobilization | , , | 1 LOT | 35,000 | 35,000 | Mob/demob 0 | .75 | 26,250 |
| | Leach Pad & Waste Dumps | combined t | otal of p | revious item | 5,533,170 | subtotal pads & dumps | | 1,502,613 |
| - 1 | Misc. Surface Areas parking lots & processing areas | combined to | otal of p | revious item | 400,989 | subtotal misc. areas | | 248,359 |
| | Total Direct Costs | | | | 5,969,160 | Total Direct Costs 3- | yr | 1,777,221 |
| 1 | NDIRECT COSTS | | | × 1 | | INDIRECT COSTS 0. | .75 | % of total LO |
| 1 | Plant Dismantling* Assumes no salvage value. Dismantling involve above ground structures & the breaking up and b | es removal of | LOT above gro ete founda | 450,000 ound ations. | 450,000 | Plant Dismantling 0. | .75 | 337,500 |
| 1 | Plugging monitoring wells with concre 3 of the Navajo wells considered pit de- | ete from bot | tom to | top | | Plugging monitoring we | | |
| k | process area: 5 wells; 4-inch dia, 500 ft | 2,500 | | 2.20 | 5,500 | LF 3 wells 15 | | 3,300 |
| | Navajo wells: 6 wells; 6-inch dia, 1200 ft | 7,200 | | 3.30 | 23,760 | 4 wells 48 | | 15,840 |
| E | Engineering - 5% of total direct costs | 1 | LOT | 298,458 | 298,458 | | | 88,861 |
| (| Dwners Cost OMITTED | | | | | | | |
| | Vater quality monitoring for 11 wells samples per well per year for 5 years | 110 | | | | Water quality monitoring | 3 | |
| _ | samples per well per year for 5 years | 110 | sample | e 500 | 55,000 | 70 samples | | 35,000 |
| F | Revegetation monitoring for 5 years | 5 | year | 5,000 | 25,000 | 0.27 % of total LOM | | 6,750 |
| C | construction Management | 1 | LOT | 180,199 | 180,199 | 0.27 % of total LOM | | 48,654 |
| C | contingency (10% of Total Direct Cos | 1 | LOT | 596,916 | 596,916 | | | 177,722 |
| | Total Indirect Costs | | | | 1,634,833 | Total Indirect Costs 3-yr | | 713,627 |
| U | nadjusted Total Costs | | | | 7,603,993 | Unadjusted Total Costs 3 | 3- | 2,490,848 |
| E | scalation for 5 years at 2.58% | | - | | 1,032,853 | Escalation for 3 y (2000- | \$) | 197,808 |
| T | OTAL RECLAMATION COSTS (2002-\$) |) | | | \$8,636,846 | Total for 3-yr estimate average \$/acre cost = \$6,80 | 0.7 | \$2,688,657 |
| | a | average \$/acre cos | t = | \$8,241 | | average \$/acre cost = \$6,80 (30% est) - (3-yr est | | (\$97,603) |
| 3 | 0% of total reclamation costs (314.4 ac | cres of distu | ırbance |) | \$2,591,054 | percent difference = 395 ACRES DISTURBED | | -3.8% OR 3-YR ESTIM |
| | | | | | | DOMINES - 1/2 | | |

ROUNDED 3-YR ESTIMAT \$2,689,000